

Southwest Local Schools: Choose Our Future

Facility Master Plan Options and Issues Brief – March 30, 2017

Option 12: Renovate HS; New JS (6-8); 3 New Elementaries (PK-5)-555+ students each

1. Additional cost included: enrollment update, inflation update, 5% contingency, 0.5 mill PI
2. Total **LOCAL** projected cost: \$78.8M; 7.09 mills including PI; \$248/yr/100khome
3. Operating Cost: \$190k/yr savings; no new buses
4. WVE becomes board office, preschool, adult education, community use and future growth
5. Sites and swing space:
 - a. HS renovation: P2: use existing JS as swing space
 - b. New JS: P1: construct on central campus
 - c. New Elem @ central campus or new site: P1
 - d. New Elem @Crosby: P1: construct new school behind existing
 - e. New Elem @ Harrison: P1: construct new school behind existing

Positives

- Save \$ 190k/yr
- No added buses
- community schools
- New and renovate
- WVE: Brd; PK; Com
- Keep HS
- 3 new equal elem.
- small school size
- similar to current
- School culture
- Flexible growth potential
- Parent ownership
- Teacher support
- “Jazz Hands”
- Most unlike what has been voted down
- Best plan for boys and girls
- community feel
- Renovated high school mean more than just fresh paint and new floors. It would include new electric, HVAC, Roof, Wo spaces, collaborative spaces
- Kids together/relationships

Negatives

- Highest 1st cost
- Community schools/bus?
- No Miamitown
- Redistricting
- Could outgrow sites
- Reno= old problems
- Student displace for construction

Tradeoffs

- Must keep WVE and air conditioning
- Need a “Myth Buster” website
- Redistricting
- Highlight the dollar amount we don’t have to pay (state share)
- How would the community respond if closing the newest school? (WVE)
- Advertise what the max student number would be or just say equal size elementaries
- Small community schools=tighter relationships for students a parents
- Keeps “small town” feel
- district lines will be re drawn - where will be kids go?

Option 2: Renovate HS; New JS (6-8);2 new Elementaries (PK-5)-830+ students each

- a. Additional Costs include: enrollment update, inflation update, 5% contingency, 0.5 mill PI
- b. Total **LOCAL** projected cost: \$76.1M;6.87 mills including PI; \$240/yr/100k home
- c. Operating Cost: \$35k/yr increase + initial \$300k bus purchase
- d. WVE becomes board office, preschool, adult education, community use and future growth
- e. Sites and swing space
 1. HS renovation: P1(Phase 1): 12-16 classrooms in temporary trailers
 2. New JS: P1: construct on central campus
 3. New Elem @Crosby: P2: swing students to existing JS; demo Crosby: construct new
 4. New Elem @ Harrison: P2: swing students to existing JS; demo Harrison; construct new

Positives

- Equal elementary schools
- Renovate HS
- Cheapest option
- traffic flow
- smaller than one k-5
- not central campus
- reuse of usable
- new option for voters
- looks frugal to voters
- shows district is listening

Negatives

- No new HS
- Purchase 3 buses \$300k
- Phasing/swing space
- not enough sites
- elementary schools too big
- redistrict 4 to 2
- Not building on site-
- moving all to JR school
- Inflammatory-voters will want to know where their children will attend
- Order of construction
- Cost of trailers
- No renovation to WVE
- not enough capacity
- Traffic management

Tradeoffs

- Student anxiety from multiple changes
- Loss of friendships and social development
- what will class size be?
- Less hometown feel
- Giving up smaller elementary schools
- longer commute

Option 4: Renovate HS; New JS (6-8); New 3-5 Int; New PK-2 Primary

- a. Additional costs include: enrollment update, inflation update, 5% contingency, 0.5 mill PI
- b. Total **LOCAL** projected cost: \$76.1M; 6.87 mills including PI; \$240/yr/100k home
- c. Operating Cost: \$95K/yr increase + initial \$500K bus purchase
- d. WVE becomes board office, preschool, adult education, community use and future growth
- e. Sites and swing spaces
 1. HS renovation: P2: use existing JS as swing space
 2. New JS: P1: construct on central campus
 3. New Primary and Intermediate: P1: construct on central campus

Positives

- totally new plan
- shared resources
- students together
- reuse HS
- new JS
- not losing playground space during construction
- student grade bands would require re-districting when specific areas of the community grow faster than others
- Community-wide grade band in primary schools
- long term flexibility for growth
- organic growth in one space
- lower cost of utility infrastructures, cafeteria, stal janitors
- Easier collaboration among grade level teachers and team
- reduction of administration
- creates a community
- other properties to offset

Negatives

- families with siblings
- traffic
- no community support
- less role modeling
- closing whitewater valley
- need renovated HS sooner than new HS
- Eliminates “walkers” and adds Buses
- loss of gym, recreation and extracurricular space
- loss of identity of neighborhoods
- Lots of traffic in one space without improvements will create gridlock, and emergency nightmare
- creates single point of failure
- less flexibility

Trade offs

- Elementary sites Crosby/Harrison
- Driveway to new Haven?
- Space/grade flexibility
- Congested central campus
- Loss of community schools
- When the old schools are demolished you will have a large property to either keep or sell.

Option 13R: Renovate HS; New JS (6-8); 2 New Elementaries (PK-5)-555+ students each; Renovate Whitewater Elementary without state funding (segment/LFI)

- a. Additional cost included; enrollment update, inflation update, 5% contingency, 0.5 mill PI
- b. Total **LOCAL** projected cost: \$76.8M; 6.93 mills including PI; \$243/yr/100k home
- c. Operating Cost: \$190k/yr savings; no new buses
- d. Sites and swing spaces
 1. HS renovation: P2:use existing JS as swing space
 2. New JS: P1: construct on central campus
 3. New Elem @ Crosby: P1: construct new school behind existing
 4. New Elem @ Harrison: P1: construct new school behind existing
 5. Renovate Whitewater: P1&2: renovate in phases over 3 summers

Positives

- Community likes local schools
- Shows fiscal responsibility reusing WVE
- A/C at WVE will pass it
- New schools keep property values higher
- Utility will help with rebate
- Using what we have (HS & Whitewater)
- intimate communities
- Optics

Negatives

- Flooding
- Unfair (1/3 of kids get old school)
- Only \$5 more per year for new schools
- Will cost more for WWV upkeep
- Redistricting lines are not defined
- WVE property floods
- WVE parents would vote n
- Using ALL local money on WVE
- Rather see 3 new elementaries
- Only save \$5/tear to renovate WVE

Tradeoffs

- Lose the utilization of empty properties
- It’s not a good deal unless it’s a good car
- Not a good use of money
- Not all new buildings
- Can’t use local money (spent on WVE) on something else our kids need
- WVE would not be available to potentially use for community space, Board Office, Gym, meeting room: Pre-school, etc.

Option 0: Basic warm, safe and dry improvements to the existing schools plus adding air conditioning and technology improvements. Also budget for trailers to address growing enrollment.

- a. Total **LOCAL** projected cost: \$54M;5.44 mills; \$191/yr/100k home
- b. Operating Cost: same as current
- c. Sites and enrollment growth
 1. Improvements over multiple summers
 2. Trailers as needed

Positives

- Cheapest Option

Negatives

- Trailers

Tradeoffs

- decline \$32M from state
- existing building limitations
- only 1/2 of state recommended improvements